Polar liquid crystals in two spatial dimensions: The bridge from microscopic to macroscopic modeling
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Two-dimensional polar liquid crystals have been discovered recently in monolayers of anisotropic molecules. Here, we provide a systematic theoretical description of liquid-crystalline phases for polar particles in two spatial dimensions. Starting from microscopic density functional theory, we derive a phase-field-crystal expression for the free-energy density that involves three local order-parameter fields, namely the translational density, the polarization, and the nematic order parameter. Various coupling terms between the order-parameter fields are obtained, which are in line with macroscopic considerations. Since the coupling constants are brought into connection with the molecular correlations, we establish a bridge from microscopic to macroscopic modeling. Our theory provides a starting point for further numerical calculations of the stability of polar liquid-crystalline phases and is also relevant for modeling of microswimmers, which are intrinsically polar.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The study of liquid-crystalline phases formed by banana-shaped molecules opens the door to generate collective alignment of the polar axes of the banana-shaped (or bent-core) molecules [1]. So far, most of the liquid-crystalline phases formed by banana-shaped molecules have been smectic [2–6], but there have also been a few reports of nematic phases in this area [6–9]. In parallel, there has been a considerable amount of work in Watanabe’s group to generate polar nematic and cholesteric phases in liquid-crystalline polymers [10–14]. Among the polar nematic phases, a nematic phase with a symmetry as low as $C_{4h}$ (or $C_{4}$) was found [13], confirming earlier predictions about polar nematic phases with low symmetry [15].

About 25 years ago, there was already an early effort to synthesize polar nematics in systems composed of fairly large plate like molecules [16] (to avoid the flipping and thus to generate a lack of $\hat{n} \rightarrow -\hat{n}$ symmetry, with $\hat{n}$ being the average preferred direction, usually called the director [17]). At about the same time, compounds composed of pyramidal molecules were synthesized with the same goal [18], but clear-cut evidence for a polar nematic could not be provided in either case. This early work, however, triggered early modeling in the framework of a Ginzburg-Landau description [19], and it was pointed out that phases with defects, in particular with spontaneous splay, should play an important role in such systems. It was predicted that a phase with defects would occur first in the vicinity of the phase transition to the polar nematic phase.

In 2003, the group of Tabe [20] found a two-dimensional polar nematic phase in Langmuir monolayers using the measurements of ferroelectric response and optical investigations in a low-molecular-weight compound composed of rodlike molecules. Very recently, there were two additional reports on a ferroelectric response of a nematic phase in three-dimensional samples in compounds composed of bent-core molecules [21,22], but it has yet to be determined whether the ferroelectric response was due to a field-induced reorganization of cybotactic clusters—as suggested by the authors—or due to a bulk polar nematic behavior of a phase containing defects of the type outlined above.

Triggered by the reports of nematic phases in banana-shaped molecules, a macroscopic description of polar nematic phases in three spatial dimensions was derived [23,24]. It turned out that the absence of parity symmetry leads in such a fluid system to a number of cross-coupling terms between the macroscopic polarization and the other hydrodynamic variables, both statically and in the dissipative dynamic regime. In addition, it was found, both for reversible as well as for irreversible dynamics, that there are new cross-coupling terms not present in typical liquid-crystalline systems not breaking parity symmetry, such as, for example, reversible dynamic cross-coupling terms between flow and temperature or concentration gradients.

Therefore, it is of high interest to have a more microscopic description evaluating the new cross-coupling terms quantitatively to aid the synthesis of new materials for which corresponding effects can be substantial. In this paper, we start such a program using a phase-field-crystal (PFC) model [25–27] to analyze the static behavior of polar phases in two spatial dimensions. This approach can be used as a bridge from microscopic to macroscopic modeling. We will systematically compare the results obtained from the PFC model to those obtained using symmetry-based approaches, such as the Ginzburg-Landau approach, a mean-field description of phase transitions neglecting fluctuations, and the approach of generalized hydrodynamics or macroscopic dynamics [28].

While in the former only variables are taken into account that lead to an infinite lifetime for excitations in the long-wavelength limit, the approach of macroscopic dynamics also incorporates variables, which relax on a sufficiently long but finite time scale in the limit of vanishing wave number. In the realization of our program, we strongly build on the foundations given for the static PFC model for nematics and other phases with orientational order in two [26] and three [27] spatial dimensions. In carrying out this program, it turns out that it is of crucial importance for polar orientational order to
go beyond the Ramakrishnan-Yussouff approximation [29], which is usually used in the area of PFC models. As a matter of fact, many of the cross-coupling terms would not be obtained if the Ramakrishnan-Yussouff approximation were implemented. The proposed model can be used as a starting point to explore phase transitions and interfaces for various polar liquid-crystalline sheets, in particular including plastic and full crystalline phases where the translational density shows a strong ordering.

The paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II, we derive a PFC model for polar liquid crystals. Then, in Sec. III, we discuss the relation of the two symmetry-based approaches with the PFC model studied in Sec. II, and we show that many of the coefficients arising in the symmetry-based approaches can be linked to microscopic expressions via the PFC model. We finally discuss possible extensions of the model to more complicated situations, and we give final conclusions in Sec. IV.

II. PHASE-FIELD-CRYSTAL MODEL FOR POLAR LIQUID CRYSTALS

In general, a theory for polar liquid-crystalline phases can be constructed on three different levels. First of all, a full microscopic theory in which the particle interactions and the thermodynamic conditions are the only input is provided by classical density functional theory (DFT) [30–33]. DFT is typically used for isotropic particles [29,34–36] but analogously holds for anisotropic particle interactions [36–40]. The second level, which can be called mesoscopic, is the phase-field approach in which lowest-order gradients of an order-parameter field are considered [41]. This can be performed up to fourth-order gradients to describe a stable crystalline state with order-parameter oscillations leading to the seminal PFC model. As a general approach, it is now possible to expand the one-particle density function and guarantees correct normalization such that the mean particle number density

\[
\bar{\rho} = \frac{N}{A},
\]

and kept at a finite temperature \( T \). The polar particles are supposed to interact in accordance with a prescribed pair-interaction potential \( V(\hat{r}_1 - \hat{r}_2, \hat{u}_1, \hat{u}_2) \). Typical examples include particles with an embedded dipole moment [57–59] modeled by a dipolar hard disk potential, colloidal pearlike particles [60,61] with corresponding excluded volume interactions, Janus particles [62,63], which possess two different sides, and asymmetric brush polymers modeled by Gaussian segment potentials [64].

We define the one-particle density field as

\[
\rho(\vec{r}, \hat{u}) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}_i) \delta(\hat{u} - \hat{u}_i) \right)
\]

with the mean particle number density

\[
\bar{\rho} = \frac{N}{A},
\]

where

\[
\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \int_{A} d^{N} \vec{r} \int_{S_1} d^{N} \hat{u} \ \mathcal{O} e^{-\beta \sum_{j=1}^{n} V(\hat{r}_j, \hat{u}_j, \hat{u}_j)}
\]

is the classical canonical average of the observable \( \mathcal{O} \). Here, we introduced the notation \( d^{N} \vec{x} = d\vec{x}_1 \cdots d\vec{x}_n \) for an arbitrary vector \( \vec{x} \) and \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). \( Z \) denotes the classical canonical partition function and guarantees correct normalization such that \( \langle 1 \rangle = 1 \). Furthermore, \( \beta = 1/(k_B T) \) is the inverse temperature with the Boltzmann constant \( k_B \), and \( S_1 \) is the unit circle. The one-particle density \( \rho(\vec{r}, \hat{u}) \) describes the probability density \( \rho(\vec{r}, \hat{u})/\bar{\rho} \) to find a particle with orientation \( \hat{u} \) at position \( \vec{r} \). Due to the restriction on two spatial dimensions, the orientation \( \hat{u}(\varphi) = (\cos(\varphi), \sin(\varphi)) \) is entirely defined by the polar angle \( \varphi \). A collective ordering of a set of particles may lead to a macroscopic polarization whose local direction can be expressed by the space-dependent dimensionless unit vector \( \hat{\rho}(\vec{r}) = \hat{u}(\varphi(\vec{r})) \), that is, parametrized by a scalar order-parameter field \( \varphi(\vec{r}) \).

Under the assumption of small anisotropies in the orientation, it is now possible to expand the one-particle density \( \rho(\vec{r}, \hat{u}) \) with respect to the angle \( \varphi - \varphi_0(\vec{r}) \) between the particular orientation \( \hat{u} \) and the macroscopic polarization \( \hat{\rho}(\vec{r}) \) into a Fourier series. Throughout this paper, we will assume explicitly that the preferred direction associated with dipolar order, \( \hat{\rho} \), and the direction associated with quadrupolar order, \( \hat{n} \), are parallel. We will therefore use \( \hat{\rho} \) in the following.

In general, these two types of order can be associated with two different preferred directions (compare, e.g., Ref. [15]).
The expansion with respect to orientation results in the approximation
\[
\rho(\tilde{r}, \hat{u}) \approx \tilde{\rho} \left[ 1 + \psi_1(\tilde{r}) + P(\tilde{r})[\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{r}) \cdot \hat{u}] + S(\tilde{r}) \left( [\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{r}) \cdot \hat{u}]^2 - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right],
\]
where the Fourier series is truncated at second order. Here, we introduced three additional dimensionless order-parameter fields \(\psi_1(\tilde{r}), P(\tilde{r}), S(\tilde{r})\). These order-parameter fields are the reduced orientationally averaged translational density
\[
\psi_1(\tilde{r}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{S_1} d\hat{u} \left( \rho(\tilde{r}, \hat{u}) - \tilde{\rho} \right),
\]

the strength of the polarization
\[
P(\tilde{r}) = \frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}} \int_{S_1} d\hat{u} \left( \rho(\tilde{r}, \hat{u})[\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{r}) \cdot \hat{u}] \right),
\]
and the nematic order parameter
\[
S(\tilde{r}) = \frac{4}{\tilde{\rho}} \int_{S_1} d\hat{u} \left( \rho(\tilde{r}, \hat{u}) \left( [\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{r}) \cdot \hat{u}]^2 - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right),
\]
which measures the local degree of orientational order. The strength \(P(\tilde{r})\) of the polarization and the director \(\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{r})\) are modulus and orientation of the polarization \(\tilde{P}(\tilde{r}) = P(\tilde{r}) \tilde{\rho}(\tilde{r})\). Note that for apolar particles \([26]\), \(P(\tilde{r}) = 0\) such that apolar particles result as a special limit from the present theory.

Now we refer to microscopic density functional theory, which is typically formulated for spherical systems \([30-32]\) but can also be constructed for anisotropic particle interactions (which dates back to Onsager \([36-40]\]). Density functional theory establishes the existence of a free-energy functional \(\mathcal{F}[\rho(\tilde{r}, \hat{u})]\) of the one-particle density \(\rho(\tilde{r}, \hat{u})\), which becomes minimal for the equilibrium density. The total functional can be split into an ideal rotator gas functional and an excess functional:
\[
\mathcal{F}[\rho(\tilde{r}, \hat{u})] = \mathcal{F}_{id}[\rho(\tilde{r}, \hat{u})] + \mathcal{F}_{exc}[\rho(\tilde{r}, \hat{u})].
\]

The ideal gas functional is local and nonlinear, and it is exactly given by
\[
\beta \mathcal{F}_{id}[\rho(\tilde{r}, \hat{u})] = \int_{A} d\tilde{r} \int_{S_1} d\hat{u} \rho(\tilde{r}, \hat{u}) \ln [\Lambda^2 \rho(\tilde{r}, \hat{u})] - 1,
\]
where \(\Lambda\) denotes the thermal de Broglie wavelength. The excess functional \(\mathcal{F}_{exc}[\rho(\tilde{r}, \hat{u})]\), on the other hand, is unknown in general [i.e., for a nonvanishing \(V(\tilde{r}_1 - \tilde{r}_2, \hat{u}_1, \hat{u}_2)\)] and approximations are needed. However, there is a formally expression gained from a functional Taylor expansion in the density variations \(\Delta \rho(\tilde{r}, \hat{u}) = \rho(\tilde{r}, \hat{u}) - \tilde{\rho}\) around a homogeneous reference density \(\tilde{\rho}\) \([30]\):
\[
\beta \mathcal{F}_{exc}[\rho(\tilde{r}, \hat{u})] = \beta \mathcal{F}^{(0)}_{exc}(\tilde{\rho}) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \mathcal{F}^{(n)}_{exc}[\rho(\tilde{r}, \hat{u})],
\]
with the \(n\)-th order contributions
\[
\mathcal{F}^{(n)}_{exc}[\rho(\tilde{r}, \hat{u})] = \int_{A} d\tilde{r} \int_{S_1} d\hat{u} \mathcal{F}^{(n)}_{exc}(\tilde{\rho}, \hat{u}) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \Delta \rho(\tilde{r}_i, \hat{u}_i).
\]
Here, \(\mathcal{F}^{(n)}_{exc}(\tilde{\rho}, \hat{u})\) denotes the \(n\)-particle direct correlation function, and the notation \(\tilde{x} = (\tilde{x}_1, \ldots, \tilde{x}_n)\) for an arbitrary vector \(\tilde{x}\) is used. The first term on the right-hand side of Eq. \((11)\) corresponds to \(n = 0\) and is an irrelevant constant that can be neglected. We remark that also the first-order term \([n = 1\) in Eq. \((12)\) vanishes since in a homogeneous reference state, \(\mathcal{F}^{(1)}(\tilde{r}_1, \hat{u}_1)\) must be constant due to translational and orientational symmetry.

For isotropic particles, various approximations based on expression \((11)\) have been proposed. The theory of Ramakrishnan and Yussouff \([29]\) keeps only second-order terms in the expansion. This provides a microscopic theory for freezing both in three \([29]\) and two spatial dimensions \([65]\). More refined approaches include the third-order term \([66]\) with an approximate triplet direct correlation function \([67,68]\), but a perturbative fourth-order theory has never been considered. Complementary, nonperturbative approaches like the recently proposed fundamental-measure theory for arbitrarily shaped hard particles \([36]\) include direct correlation functions of arbitrary order.

We now insert the parametrization \((5)\) of the one-particle density into Eqs. \((10)\) and \((11)\) to obtain a free-energy functional of the order-parameter fields \(\psi_1(\tilde{r}), P(\tilde{r}), S(\tilde{r})\), and \(\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{r})\). First, after inserting the density parametrization \((5)\) into the ideal gas functional \((10)\), we expand the logarithm and truncate the expansion of the integrand at fourth order.

This order guarantees stabilization of the solutions (similar to the traditional Ginzburg-Landau theory of phase transitions). Performing the angular integration results in the approximation
\[
\beta \mathcal{F}_{st}[\rho(\tilde{r}, \hat{u})] \approx F_{id} + \pi \tilde{\rho} \int_{A} d\tilde{r} f_{id}
\]
with the local ideal rotator gas free-energy density
\[
f_{id} = 2 \psi_1 + \psi_2^3 - 3 \psi_1^2 \psi_3 + \psi_1 \psi_4^2 + \frac{P_1^2}{2} - \frac{P_2^2 S_1}{2} - \frac{P_1 P_2}{2} + \frac{P_3^2}{8} + \frac{P_1^2 S_2}{4} + \frac{P_2^2 S_3}{16} + \frac{S_1^2}{8} + \frac{S_2^2}{8} + \frac{S_1^2 S_2}{16} + \frac{S_1^4}{256}
\]
and the abbreviation
\[
F_{id} = 2\pi \tilde{\rho} A \ln [\Lambda^2 \tilde{\rho}] - 1
\]
for a constant and therefore irrelevant term.

Secondly, we insert the density parametrization \((5)\) into Eq. \((11)\). We will truncate this expansion at fourth order. Since the \(n\)-th order direct correlation function \(\mathcal{F}^{(n)}\) in Eq. \((11)\) is not known in general, we expand it into a Fourier series with respect to its orientational degrees of freedom. By considering the translational and rotational invariance of the direct correlation function, we can use the parametrization \(\mathcal{F}^{(n+1)}(\tilde{R}, \phi_R, \tilde{\rho})\) with \(\tilde{R} = (R_1, \ldots, R_n), \phi_R = (\phi_R_1, \ldots, \phi_R_n)\), and \(\phi = (\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n)\) for the direct correlation function \(\mathcal{F}^{(n+1)}\) to reduce its orientational degrees of freedoms from \(2n + 2\) to \(2n\). Here, the new variables are related to the previous ones by \(\tilde{r}_1 - \tilde{r}_{n+1} = R_i \tilde{u}(\phi_R), \hat{u}_i = \tilde{u}(\phi), \phi_R = \phi_1 - \phi_R\).
and \( \phi_i = \varphi_i - \varphi_{i+1} \). With this parametrization, the Fourier expansion of the direct correlation function reads

\[
e^{(n+1)}(\mathbf{R}, \phi, \varphi) = \sum_{l, m, j = 0}^{\infty} e^{(n+1)}(\mathbf{R}) e^{il\varphi_i} e^{lm\varphi_j} \tag{16}
\]

with the expansion coefficients

\[
e^{(n+1)}_{lm}(\mathbf{R}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\varphi_{i} \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\varphi_{j} e^{-il\varphi_i} e^{-lm\varphi_j} \tag{17}
\]

Next, we set \( A = \mathbb{R}^2 \) and perform a gradient expansion in the order-parameter fields. For the term \( (12) \) corresponding to \( n = 2 \), this gradient expansion is performed up to fourth order in \( \hat{\mathbf{R}} \), to allow stable crystalline phases and up to second order in all other order-parameter products, where we assume that the highest-order gradient terms ensure stability. However, for \( n = 3 \) and \( 4 \), we truncate the gradient expansion at first and zeroth order, respectively. This results in the components

\[
F^{(n)}_{\text{exc}}[\psi, P, S, \hat{\rho}] \approx \int d\hat{\mathbf{r}} f^{(n)}_{\text{exc}} \tag{18}
\]

of the static excess free-energy functional. In this equation, the excess free-energy densities \( f^{(n)}_{\text{exc}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) \) are local and given by

\[
f^{(2)}_{\text{exc}} = A_1 \psi_1^2 + A_2 (\nabla \psi_1)^2 + A_3 (\Delta \psi_1)^2
+ B_1 \psi_1 \nabla \cdot (\hat{\rho} P) + B_2 S [\hat{\rho} \cdot \nabla P - P \nabla \cdot \hat{\rho}]
+ B_3 (\nabla \psi_1 \cdot \nabla S - 2 \hat{\rho} \cdot \nabla \psi_1) \tag{19}
\]

\[
f^{(3)}_{\text{exc}} = E_1 \psi_1^3 + E_2 \psi_1 P^2 + E_3 \psi_1 S^2 + E_4 P S^2
+ (F_1 \psi_1 + F_2 S) P \hat{\rho} \cdot \nabla \psi_1
+ (F_3 \psi_1 + F_4 S) \hat{\rho} \cdot \nabla P \tag{20}
\]

\[
f^{(4)}_{\text{exc}} = G_1 \psi_1^4 + G_2 \psi_1 P^2 + G_3 \psi_1 S^2
+ G_4 P^2 S + G_5 P S^2 + G_6 P^4 + G_7 S^4 \tag{21}
\]

with the coefficients

\[
A_1 = 8 M_0^0(1), \quad A_2 = -2 M_0^0(3), \quad A_3 = \frac{1}{8} M_0^0(5) \tag{22}
\]

in the gradient expansion in \( \hat{\psi}_1(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) \), which also appear—in a different form—in the traditional PFC model of Elder and co-workers [25]. The coefficients

\[
B_1 = 4 (M_0^0(2) - M_1^1(1)), \tag{23}
B_2 = M_2^0(2) - M_1^1(2), \tag{24}
B_3 = \frac{1}{2} (M_2^0(3) + M_2^0(3)) \tag{25}
\]

belong to the terms that contain gradients and the modulus of the polarization \( P(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) \) in first order or that describe the coupling between gradients in the translational density \( \psi_1(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) \) and gradients in the nematic order parameter \( S(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) \), respectively. The following three coefficients

\[
C_1 = 4 M_0^0(1), \tag{26}
C_2 = \frac{1}{2} M_1^1(3), \tag{27}
C_3 = -M_1^1(3) \tag{28}
\]

appear in the gradient expansion regarding \( P^2(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) \), and

\[
D_1 = M_0^0(1), \quad D_2 = -\frac{1}{2} M_2^0(3) \tag{29}
\]

are the coefficients of the gradient expansion in \( S^2(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) \). So far, all these coefficients can also be obtained by using the second-order Ramakrishnan-Yussouff functional for the excess free energy. The remaining coefficients, however, result from higher-order contributions in our functional Taylor expansion. In third order, we find for the homogeneous terms the coefficients

\[
E_1 = 32 \tilde{M}_{00}^{00}, \tag{30}
E_2 = 16 (\tilde{M}_{00}^{01} + \tilde{M}_{00}^{10}), \tag{31}
E_3 = 4 (\tilde{M}_{00}^{22} + \tilde{M}_{00}^{00}), \tag{32}
E_4 = 4 (2 \tilde{M}_{00}^{21} + \tilde{M}_{00}^{11}), \tag{33}
\]

and for the terms containing a gradient, we find the coefficients

\[
F_1 = -32 (\tilde{M}_{01}^{01} - 2 \tilde{M}_{01}^{00} + \tilde{M}_{01}^{00}), \tag{34}
F_2 = -8 (\tilde{M}_{01}^{00} + \tilde{M}_{01}^{00}) - 2 \tilde{M}_{01}^{00} - 2 \tilde{M}_{01}^{00}, \tag{35}
F_3 = -8 (\tilde{M}_{01}^{21} + \tilde{M}_{01}^{01} - 2 \tilde{M}_{01}^{01}), \tag{36}
F_4 = 16 (\tilde{M}_{01}^{11} - 2 \tilde{M}_{01}^{01} + \tilde{M}_{01}^{01}), \tag{37}
F_5 = -4 (\tilde{M}_{01}^{22} - \tilde{M}_{01}^{11} - 2 \tilde{M}_{01}^{01}), \tag{38}
F_6 = 16 (\tilde{M}_{01}^{21} - 5 \tilde{M}_{01}^{11} - 5 \tilde{M}_{01}^{01} + 3 \tilde{M}_{01}^{01}), \tag{39}
F_7 = -4 (\tilde{M}_{00}^{22} - \tilde{M}_{00}^{11} - \tilde{M}_{00}^{01} - \tilde{M}_{00}^{00}), \tag{40}
\]

In fourth order, we only kept homogeneous terms. The corresponding coefficients are

\[
G_1 = 128 \tilde{M}_{00}^{00}, \tag{42}
G_2 = 192 (\tilde{M}_{00}^{10} + \tilde{M}_{00}^{01}), \tag{43}
G_3 = 48 (\tilde{M}_{00}^{00} + \tilde{M}_{00}^{00} + \tilde{M}_{00}^{00}), \tag{44}
G_4 = 48 (2 \tilde{M}_{00}^{20} + \tilde{M}_{00}^{20} + \tilde{M}_{00}^{20} + \tilde{M}_{00}^{20}), \tag{45}
G_5 = 24 (\tilde{M}_{00}^{00} + \tilde{M}_{00}^{00} + \tilde{M}_{00}^{00}), \tag{46}
G_6 = 48 \tilde{M}_{00}^{10} \tag{47}
G_7 = 3 \tilde{M}_{00}^{22} \tag{48}
\]
To shorten the notation, we introduced the abbreviations $\hat{M}_m^P = M_0^m(1)$ and $\hat{M}_m^{m_1m_2} = M_0^{m_1m_2}(1, 2)$ and used some symmetry considerations that are outlined in Appendix A. The moments over expansion coefficients of the direct correlation functions depend on the particular thermodynamic conditions and therefore on the parameters $\tilde{\rho}$ and $T$.

For stability reasons, we assume that the coefficients of the highest-order terms in the gradients and order-parameter fields are positive in the full free-energy functional. If this appears not to be the case for a certain system, it is necessary to take into account further terms of the respective order-parameter field up to the first stabilizing order.

Equations (19)–(21) constitute the main result of the paper: a systematic gradient expansion of order-parameter fields in the free-energy functional. The prefactors are moments of various direct correlation functions and therefore provide the link to microscopic correlations. This is similar in spirit to PFC models [25,43–46,53] for spherical particles.

### B. Special cases of the phase-field-crystal model

We now discuss special cases of our model. First of all, Eqs. (19)–(21) are an extension of the excess free-energy density for apolar particles that was recently proposed in Ref. [26]. This extension comprises a possible polarization of liquid-crystalline particles as well as an enlarged functional Taylor expansion that is beyond the scope of the second-order (Ramakrishnan-Yussuff) approximation. Because of that, our free-energy functional contains a few simpler models as special cases and is therefore the main result of this paper.

Two special models that are known from the literature and are positive in the full free-energy functional contain a few simpler models as special cases and is therefore the main result of this paper. First of all, we have to neglect all contributions (12) for $n \geq 2$.

### III. MACROSCOPIC APPROACHES

In this section, we investigate the bridge between the PFC model presented in detail in the preceding section for polar liquid crystals in two spatial dimensions and the symmetry-based macroscopic approaches: Ginzburg-Landau and generalized continuum description. In addition, we can also compare these results obtained for polar liquid crystals in two spatial dimensions with those obtained previously for nonpolar liquid crystals in two [26] as well as in three [27] spatial dimensions.

The general PFC results of this paper have been summarized in Eqs. (19)–(21). We first analyze the terms given in Eq. (19), which are quadratic in the variables and their gradients. We start with terms containing only the translational density and its gradients in Eq. (19). In the vicinity of the smectic-A-isotropic transition, one has for the smectic order parameter [55]

$$\psi(\vec{r}) = \psi_0 e^{i\phi(\vec{r})}$$

and for the density

$$\rho(\vec{r}) = \tilde{\rho} + \psi_0 [e^{i\phi(\vec{r})} + e^{-i\phi(\vec{r})}]$$

with the average homogeneous density $\tilde{\rho}$ (compare also Sec. 6.3 of Ref. [56] for a detailed discussion). Since the total free energy must be a good scalar, the smectic order parameter can enter the free energy only quadratically. For the lowest-order terms in the energy density $f(\vec{r})$, which we define as the integrand of the free-energy functional

$$\mathcal{F}[\rho, P, S] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} d\vec{r} f$$

we have [73]

$$\frac{1}{2} \alpha |\psi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} b_1 |\nabla \psi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} b_2 |\Delta \psi|^2$$

Comparing Eq. (53) and the first three terms in Eq. (19), we can make the identifications $A_1$, $A_2$, and $A_3$ with $-\alpha$, $-b_1$, and $-b_2$, respectively. This situation is similar for nonpolar nematics in three spatial dimensions [27], where $A_1$, $A_2$, and $A_3$ are defined with different signs, and for nonpolar nematics in two spatial dimensions [26], where one must identify $4\pi^2 \tilde{\rho} A$, $-4\pi^2 \tilde{\rho} B$, and $4\pi^2 \tilde{\rho} C$ with $\alpha$, $b_1$, and $b_2$, respectively.

For the terms containing only the nonpolar orientational order $S(\vec{r})$ in Eq. (19), we have two contributions to compare to other approaches. One is spatially homogeneous $\sim D_1$ and the other is quadratic in the gradients of the orientational order $\sim D_2$. The first contribution can be directly compared with the term $\frac{1}{2} Q_{ij} Q_{ij}$ in de Gennes’ pioneering paper [54]. Using the structure $Q_{ij} = S(p_i p_j - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij})$ for the conventional nematic order parameter in two spatial dimensions, we find $D_1 = -A$ using the original notation of Ref. [54]. For the gradient terms in the Ginzburg-Landau approximation, one has at first glance two contributions to the energy density just using the three-dimensional expression [54]

$$L_1(\nabla_i Q_{jk})(\nabla_i Q_{jk}) + L_2(\nabla_i Q_{jk})(\nabla_j Q_{jk})$$

for two spatial dimensions. A straightforward calculation shows that the two contributions are identical in two
dimensions, however, with $L_1 = 2L_2$ and thus one independent coefficient just as for the PFC model, where one has the contribution $\sim D_2$.

For the terms associated exclusively with orientational order, we have, when specialized to two spatial dimensions, in the continuum description in the energy density

$$
K_1(\hat{\nabla} \cdot \hat{\rho})^2 + K_5(\hat{\rho} \times [\hat{\nabla} \times \hat{\rho}])^2
+ L_1(p \nabla S)^2 + L_2 \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} \nabla_i S \nabla_j S
+ M(\nabla_i S)(\delta_{ik} \rho_i + \delta_{jk} \rho_j)(\nabla_i \rho_k)
= 0,
$$
Equation (57) represents the analog of the Frank orientational elastic energy $\sim K_{ijkl}$ with splay and bend, the energy associated with gradients of the modulus $\sim K_{ij}^{(2)}$, and a cross-coupling term between gradients of the preferred direction to gradients of the order-parameter modulus $\sim K_{ij}^{(3)}$—the analog of the corresponding term in nonpolar nematics [75, 78].

The contributions $\sim C_2$ and $\sim C_3$ in Eq. (19) are the PFC analogs of the contributions $\sim K_{ijkl}^{(2)}$ and $\sim K_{ijkl}^{(3)}$ in Eq. (57). Instead of four independent coefficients in the macroscopic description in two spatial dimensions, the PFC model gives rise to two. The contribution $\sim K_{6}$ has no direct analog in the PFC model.

Next, we start to compare cross-coupling terms between gradients of the variables. The discussion for the coupling terms between gradients of the density and gradients of the orientational order closely parallels that for the three-dimensional nonpolar nematic case. In Eq. (19), the terms of interest are proportional to $B_5$. In Ref. [27], these are the terms $\sim B_2$. A comparison of these two expressions reveals that they are identical in structure and that one has to take into account just the change in dimensionality. For spatial gradients in the director field coupling to spatial variations in the density $\rho(\vec{r})$, we find in the energy density [76, 79]

$$\lambda^\rho(\nabla_i \rho)(\delta_{ik} \rho_i + \delta_{jk} \rho_j)(\nabla_i \rho_k),$$

By comparison with Eq. (19), we find $\lambda^\rho \hat{\rho} = B_5 \delta$. Finally, we have for the terms coupling gradients of the order-parameter modulus $S(\vec{r})$ to gradients of the density [76]

$$N_{ij}^\rho(\nabla_i S)(\nabla_j \rho),$$

where the second-rank tensor $N_{ij}^\rho$ is of the standard uniaxial form $N_{ij}^\rho = N_{ij}^\rho \delta_{ij} + \delta_{ij}$. A comparison with Eq. (19) yields $2N_{ij}^\rho \hat{\rho} = B_3$. The coupling terms listed in Eqs. (61) and (62) exist in both two and three spatial dimensions. Thus, in comparison to the hydrodynamic description of the bulk behavior, which is characterized by three independent coefficients, we find one independent coefficient in the PFC model. In the framework of a Ginzburg-Landau approach using the orientational order parameter $Q_{ij}(\vec{r})$, we find in the isotropic phase

$$P^z(\nabla_i Q_{jk})(\nabla_j \rho)(\delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} + \delta_{ik} \delta_{lj}),$$

and thus one independent coefficient—as has also been the case for the nonpolar PFC model in three dimensions [27] as well as in two dimensions [26].

The contributions $\sim B_1$ and $\sim B_2$ contain gradients of the macroscopic polarization $\vec{P}(\vec{r})$ couple to density and quadrupolar order. They are unique to systems with polar order, or more generally, to systems with broken parity symmetry, since they contain one gradient and one factor $\vec{P}(\vec{r})$. Such coupling terms are not possible, for example, in nonpolar nematics or smectic-A phases. The term $\sim B_1$ can easily be compared with the macroscopic description of polar nematics given in Ref. [23]. The relevant terms from Eq. (1) of Ref. [23] read

$$\beta_1 \delta \rho(\vec{P} \nabla_i \rho) + \vec{P} \delta_1 \rho(\nabla_i \rho),$$

where $\delta \rho = \rho - \hat{\rho}$. We thus read off immediately that when comparing to the PFC model, we have $2\beta_1 \hat{\rho} = -B_1$ and

$$\lambda^\rho(\nabla_i \rho)(\delta_{ik} \rho_i + \delta_{jk} \rho_j)(\nabla_i \rho_k),$$

By comparison with Eq. (19), we find $\lambda^\rho \hat{\rho} = B_5 \delta$. Finally, we have for the terms coupling gradients of the order-parameter modulus $S(\vec{r})$ to gradients of the density [76]

$$N_{ij}^\rho(\nabla_i S)(\nabla_j \rho),$$

where the second-rank tensor $N_{ij}^\rho$ is of the standard uniaxial form $N_{ij}^\rho = N_{ij}^\rho \delta_{ij} + \delta_{ij}$. A comparison with Eq. (19) yields $2N_{ij}^\rho \hat{\rho} = B_3$. The coupling terms listed in Eqs. (61) and (62) exist in both two and three spatial dimensions. Thus, in comparison to the hydrodynamic description of the bulk behavior, which is characterized by three independent coefficients, we find one independent coefficient in the PFC model. In the framework of a Ginzburg-Landau approach using the orientational order parameter $Q_{ij}(\vec{r})$, we find in the isotropic phase

$$P^z(\nabla_i Q_{jk})(\nabla_j \rho)(\delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} + \delta_{ik} \delta_{lj}),$$

and thus one independent coefficient—as has also been the case for the nonpolar PFC model in three dimensions [27] as well as in two dimensions [26].

The contributions $\sim B_1$ and $\sim B_2$ contain gradients of the macroscopic polarization $\vec{P}(\vec{r})$ couple to density and quadrupolar order. They are unique to systems with polar order, or more generally, to systems with broken parity symmetry, since they contain one gradient and one factor $\vec{P}(\vec{r})$. Such coupling terms are not possible, for example, in nonpolar nematics or smectic-A phases. The term $\sim B_1$ can easily be compared with the macroscopic description of polar nematics given in Ref. [23]. The relevant terms from Eq. (1) of Ref. [23] read

$$\beta_1 \delta \rho(\vec{P} \nabla_i \rho) + \vec{P} \delta_1 \rho(\nabla_i \rho),$$

where $\delta \rho = \rho - \hat{\rho}$. We thus read off immediately that when comparing to the PFC model, we have $2\beta_1 \hat{\rho} = -B_1$ and
2β₁β₂ = −B₁P, that is, one independent coefficient in the PFC model and two in the macroscopic description. For the term \( B₂ \), the situation is similar. One has to replace in Eq. (64) \( \delta P \) by \( \delta S \), where \( S(\vec{r}) \) is the modulus of the quadrupolar nematic order parameter with coefficients denoted by \( \beta₃ \) and \( \beta₄ \). Then one makes the identifications \( 2\beta₃ = -B₂ \) and \( 2\beta₄ = B₂P \). For the contribution \( B₂ \), we can also easily make contact with the Ginzburg-Landau picture. For the coupling of \( P_i(\vec{r}) \) and its gradients to quadrupolar orientational order, we obtain to lowest order in the Ginzburg-Landau energy density

\[
g_{ijkl} P_i(\nabla_k Q_{ij}) \tag{65}
\]

with \( g_{ijkl} = g(\delta_{jk}\delta_{il} + \delta_{jl}\delta_{ik}) \). This term has been given before for the isotropic-smectic-\( C^\ast \) phase transition in liquid crystals [80] for which the polarization \( P_i(\vec{r}) \) is a secondary-order parameter. We note that the contribution \( B₂ \) in Eq. (19) can be brought into a form identical to that of Eq. (65), when it is rewritten in terms of \( Q_{ij}(\vec{r}) \) and \( \vec{P}(\vec{r}) \). This shows once more the close structural connection between PFC modeling and the Ginzburg-Landau approach. The spatially homogeneous contributions in Eq. (20) can all be interpreted in the symmetry-based framework as well. The term \( \sim E₄ \) arises near the smectic-\( C^\ast \)-isotropic phase transition [80]: \( Q_{ij}P_iP_j \). The terms \( \sim E₂ \) and \( \sim E₃ \) can be interpreted as the density dependence of the terms \( \sim \vec{P}^2 \) and \( \sim Q_{ij}Q_{ij} \) in the Landau description of the polar nematic-isotropic [19] and the nonpolar nematic-isotropic [54] phase transitions. Finally, the contribution \( \sim E₁ \) would arise in a macroscopic description as a term cubic in the density variations: \( (\delta \rho \vec{r})^3 \). Typically, such terms are considered to be of higher order in a macroscopic approach. The physical interpretation of this term is a density dependence of the compressibility.

Most of the terms in Eq. (20) containing one gradient, namely all terms containing \( Fᵢ \), except for \( F₄ \), can be interpreted in the framework of macroscopic dynamics as higher-order corrections to the terms \( \sim \vec{P} \), \( \sim \vec{P} \vec{P} \), \( \sim \vec{P} \vec{P} \vec{P} \), and \( \sim \vec{P} \vec{P} \vec{P} \vec{P} \) discussed above. They correspond in this picture to the dependence of the coefficients \( \beta_i \) and \( \beta₄ \) on the density changes \( \delta \rho(\vec{r}) \) and variations in the modulus of the quadrupolar order parameter \( \delta S(\vec{r}) \). There is one exception to this picture, and this is the term \( \sim F₄ \) in Eq. (20). It is also this term that has an analog in the field of the Ginzburg-Landau description of ferroelectric materials:

\[
P_i P_i(\nabla_j P_j) \tag{66}
\]

This nonlinear gradient term has been introduced in Ref. [81], and it was demonstrated by Felix et al. [82] that this term leads to qualitative changes in the phase diagram near the paraelectric-ferroelectric transition, giving rise also to incommensurate structures.

In Eq. (21), spatially homogeneous terms that are of fourth order in the order parameters are presented. Most of them are familiar from Landau energies near phase transitions. The first contribution, the term \( \sim G₁ \), arises for all isotropic-smectic phase transitions [73,80,83] as well as for the nematic-smectic-\( A \) and the nematic-smectic-\( C \) transitions [17,55]: \( \sim |\vec{\psi}|^4 \). The contribution \( \sim G₆ \) arises near the paraelectric-ferroelectric phase transition [77,82] and has also been used near the isotropic-polar-nematic transition [19]; \( \sim \vec{P}^4 \). The term \( \sim G₇ \) is familiar from the nonpolar nematic to isotropic [54] and the smectic-\( A \) to isotropic [73] transitions: \( \sim (Q_{ij}Q_{ij})^2 \).

The cross-coupling term \( \sim G₅ \) corresponds to an analogous term for isotropic-smectic transitions [73,80,83]: \( |\vec{\psi}|^2 Q_{ij}Q_{ij} \). For the Ginzburg-Landau description of the smectic-\( C^\ast \)-isotropic transition, the term \( \sim G₂ \) arises [80]: \( |\vec{\psi}|^2 \vec{P}^2 \). The term \( \sim G₅ \) also has an analog at the smectic-\( C^\ast \)-isotropic transition, where it has not been discussed before. However, for the nonpolar nematic-to-isotropic phase transition in an electric field, it was shown in Ref. [84] that there are two contributions:

\[
\vec{\chi}_1 E_k E_n Q_{kl} Q_{mn} + \vec{\chi}_2 E_k E_n Q_{kl} Q_{mn} \tag{67}
\]

The same contributions are relevant here when the external electric field is replaced by the polarization \( \vec{P}(\vec{r}) \). Finally, the term \( \sim G₄ \) can be viewed as the density dependence of the term \( Q_{ij}P_iP_j \) as it emerges near the isotropic-smectic-\( C^\ast \) phase transition [80].

IV. CONCLUSIONS AND POSSIBLE EXTENSIONS

In conclusion, we systematically derived a phase-field-crystal model for polar liquid crystals in two spatial dimensions from microscopic density functional theory. Two basic approximations are involved: first, the density functional is approximated by a truncated functional Taylor expansion, which we considered here up to fourth order. Then a generalized gradient expansion in the order parameters is performed that leads to a local free-energy functional. The density is parametrized by four order-parameter fields, namely the translational density \( \vec{\psi}(\vec{r}) \), which corresponds to the scalar phase-field variable in the traditional phase-field-crystal model, the strength of polarization \( P(\vec{r}) \), an orientational direction given by a two-dimensional unit vector \( \hat{p} \), and the nematic order parameter \( S(\vec{r}) \). In the three latter quantities, the gradient expansion is performed up to second order, while it is done to fourth order in \( \vec{\psi}(\vec{r}) \) for stability reasons. The traditional phase-field-crystal model [42,43] and the recently proposed phase-field-crystal model for apolar liquid crystals [26] are recovered as special cases. The additional terms are all in accordance with macroscopic approaches based on symmetry considerations [28,76]. The prefactors are generalized moments of various direct correlation functions and therefore provide a bridge between microscopic and macroscopic approaches.

As a general feature, we find that typically the number of independent coefficients for the phase-field crystal and the Ginzburg-Landau approaches is the same, while in many cases the macroscopic hydrodynamics description valid for the two-dimensional polar phase leads to a larger number of independent coefficients. This appears to be a general trend, which was also found to hold before for the comparison of phases with three-dimensional nonpolar orientational order [27]. In fact, it also applies to the two-dimensional phase-field-crystal model for systems with orientational order studied in Ref. [26].

The proposed functional, as embodied in Eqs. (19)–(21), can be used phenomenologically to study phase transformations, for example in polar nematic sheets, interfaces between coexisting phases [85–87], and certain biological systems that exhibit polar order [88,89]. Since our model has more
parameters, we expect even more complicated phase diagrams than recently discovered numerically in the apolar phase-field-crystal model [90].

One could also do in principle microscopic calculations of the bulk phase diagram for a given interparticle potential $V(\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2, \hat{u}_1, \hat{u}_2)$, which needs the full direct correlations of the isotropic phase as an input. The simplest idea is to neglect all direct correlation functions for $n \geq 3$ and to rely on a second-order virial expression [91], where $c^{(2)}(\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2, \hat{u}_1, \hat{u}_2) = e^{-\delta V(\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2, \hat{u}_1, \hat{u}_2)} - 1$, or the random-phase approximation for mean-field fluids [64], where $c^{(2)}(\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2, \hat{u}_1, \hat{u}_2) = -\beta V(\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2, \hat{u}_1, \hat{u}_2)$.

In a next step, the analysis can be done for Brownian dynamics based on dynamical density functional theory [92–94], which was generalized to orientational dynamics [95] and can be used as a starting point to derive the order-parameter dynamics [26]. This can then be applied to describe the translational and orientational relaxation dynamics, for example for an orientational glass [96] or system exposed to a periodic driving field [97]. Finally, it would be interesting to generalize the analysis to self-propelled particles that are driven along their orientation [98–100]. These particles are polar by definition, and therefore the generalization to dynamical properties of bacterial growth patterns of proteus mirabilis [103].
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APPENDIX A: SYMMETRY CONSIDERATIONS

In the derivation of the approximation for the excess free-energy functional, a large number of expansion coefficients $	ilde{c}^{(n)}(\vec{R})$ of the direct correlation functions and moments $M^m_l(\omega)$ of these expansion coefficients appear. To reduce their total number, we used basic symmetry considerations that are based on four invariances of the direct correlation functions, and we showed that many of the expansion coefficients and moments are equal. This is why only a few moments of all possible moments for different index combinations are present in Eqs. (22)–(48) for the coefficients in our model. These invariances are the translational and rotational invariance of the direct correlation functions, which are considered by an appropriate parametrization $c^{(m+1)}(\vec{R}, \phi_x, \phi_y)$ and a Fourier expansion (16) of the latter, as well as the invariance of the direct correlation functions concerning the renumbering of particles,

$$c^{(n)}(\vec{r}_1, \ldots, \vec{r}_j, \ldots, \vec{u}_i, \ldots, \vec{u}_j, \ldots) = c^{(n)}(\vec{r}_j, \ldots, \vec{r}_1, \ldots, \vec{u}_j, \ldots, \vec{u}_1, \ldots),$$  \hspace{1cm} (A1)

which implies that moments that arise from each other by simultaneous permutations of the elements in $\vec{r}$, $\vec{u}$, and $\omega$ are equal,

$$M_{\vec{r}_1, \ldots, \vec{r}_m, \vec{u}_1, \ldots, \vec{u}_n}(\ldots, \omega_i, \ldots, \omega_j, \ldots) = M_{\vec{r}_1, \ldots, \vec{r}_m, \vec{u}_1, \ldots, \vec{u}_n}(\ldots, \omega_j, \ldots, \omega_i, \ldots),$$  \hspace{1cm} (A2)

and the invariance of the expansion coefficients (17) against complex conjugation:

$$\tilde{c}^{(n)}(\vec{R}) = \tilde{c}^{(n)}(\vec{R}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (A3)

The last assumption is necessary to obtain physical terms with real coefficients in the approximation for the excess free-energy functional. It involves the invariance of $\tilde{c}^{(n)}(\vec{R})$ against simultaneous reversal of the signs of the elements in $\vec{r}$ and $\vec{u}$,

$$\tilde{c}^{(n)}(-\vec{r}_1, \ldots, -\vec{r}_m, \ldots, -\vec{u}_1, \ldots, -\vec{u}_n, R_1, \ldots, R_n) = \tilde{c}^{(n)}(\vec{r}_1, \ldots, \vec{r}_m, \ldots, \vec{u}_1, \ldots, \vec{u}_n, R_1, \ldots, R_n),$$  \hspace{1cm} (A4)

and is equivalent to the invariance of the direct correlation functions against reflection of the system at the first axis of coordinates.

When the system is apolar, the liquid-crystalline particles have head-tail symmetry. In this case, the modulus $P(\vec{r})$ of the polarization is zero and its orientation $\hat{p}(\vec{r})$ is not defined, while the direction $\hat{n}(\vec{r})$ associated with quadrupolar order still exists. Then, further symmetry considerations lead to the following equalities between expansion coefficients of the direct pair-correlation function:

$$\tilde{c}^{(2)}_{-1,1}(\vec{R}) = \tilde{c}^{(2)}_{1,1}(\vec{R}),$$

$$\tilde{c}^{(2)}_{-2,0}(\vec{R}) = \tilde{c}^{(2)}_{2,0}(\vec{R}),$$

$$\tilde{c}^{(2)}_{-1,0}(\vec{R}) = \tilde{c}^{(2)}_{1,0}(\vec{R}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (A5)

The consequence of these equations is that the coefficients $B_1$ and $B_2$ vanish and $B_3$ becomes more simple.


