Tunable dynamic response of magnetic gels: Impact of structural properties and magnetic fields
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Ferrogels and magnetic elastomers feature mechanical properties that can be reversibly tuned from outside through magnetic fields. Here we concentrate on the question of how their dynamic response can be adjusted. The influence of three factors on the dynamic behavior is demonstrated using appropriate minimal models: first, the orientational memory imprinted into one class of the materials during their synthesis; second, the structural arrangement of the magnetic particles in the materials; and third, the strength of an external magnetic field. To illustrate the latter point, structural data are extracted from a real experimental sample and analyzed. Understanding how internal structural properties and external influences impact the dominant dynamical properties helps to design materials that optimize the requested behavior.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Often the internal dissipation in soft matter systems is sufficiently large so that their dynamics can be considered as overdamped. For instance the motion of dispersed colloidal particles is dominated by the friction with the surrounding liquid [1]. Another example is the dynamics of polymer chains in melt or solution, described in a first approach by the famous Rouse and Zimm models [2,3]. Apart from that, in polymeric systems the dynamic behavior is often dominated by relaxation processes. The reason is found in the large size of their building blocks. A long time is necessary for conformational rearrangements to adjust to changes in their environment [4]. Frequently, the slower processes are the ones that strongly influence the macroscopic behavior.

Here, we consider the combination of the two materials mentioned above in the form of ferrogels or magnetic elastomers [5]. In this case, magnetic colloidal particles are embedded into a crosslinked polymeric matrix. Qualitatively different kinds of this “embedding” can be achieved by different protocols of synthesis. On the one hand, the magnetic particles can simply be enclosed in mesh pockets of the polymer network [5]. This allows a certain degree of freedom for particle reorientations. On the other hand, via surface functionalization, the magnetic particles can serve as crosslinkers and thus become part of the polymer mesh [6–8]. Then, restoring torques hinder reorientations of the particles. We use the term “orientational memory” to refer to this situation [9].

From the internal architecture of these materials it is obvious that their magnetic and mechanical properties are strongly coupled to each other. This is what makes them interesting from both an academic and an application point of view. For example, the mechanical properties, such as the mechanical elastic modulus, can be tuned and adjusted reversibly from outside by applying external magnetic fields [5]. This may be exploited in constructing novel damping devices [10] and vibrational absorbers [11]. Several theoretical studies have shown that the internal spatial particle distribution plays a qualitative role in this effect [12–15].

Furthermore, applying time-dependent external magnetic fields can induce deformations, which makes the materials candidates for use as soft actuators [5,16,17]. Related to this feature, it has been demonstrated theoretically that the spatial particle arrangement in the materials has a qualitative impact on the magnetostriective behavior [18–20].

Apart from that, quick remagnetizations of the magnetic particles by an alternating external magnetic field can lead to local heating. The effect is due to hysteretic losses in the dynamic magnetization processes. It can be used for hyperthermal cancer treatment when magnetic particles are embedded into tumor tissue [21,22]. Ferrogels, which likewise feature magnetic particles embedded in a gel-like matrix, can serve as model systems to investigate some of the aspects that become important during this form of medical treatment.

In all these processes, dynamic modes of the materials are excited. This happens via the time-dependence of the applied mechanical deformations and external magnetic fields. Different modes will dominate depending on the type of external stimulus. In the described situation there are two major differences when compared to the classical picture of phonon modes in conventional solids [23]: we expect the dynamics of the magnetic particles to be mainly of the relaxatory kind, and the particle arrangement is not that of a regular crystalline lattice.

A natural goal is to optimize the materials in view of their applications. For this purpose, it is important to understand if and how the dynamic modes are determined by internal structural properties and by external magnetic fields. So far, a macroscopic continuum theory for the dynamic response of magnetic gels has been developed using a hydrodynamic-like symmetry-based approach [16,24]. However, particle-resolved studies that connect the dynamic material behavior to structural properties on the magnetic particle level are missing. Our investigations in the following are a first step into this direction.

In the next section, we review the simplified dipole-spring model that we recently introduced to investigate equilibrium...
ground states of simple model systems [9]. We expand it by formulating the corresponding relaxation dynamics. Our approach contains memory terms to include a possible orientational coupling of the magnetic particles to the polymer network [9]. We then demonstrate and analyze the impact of three different factors on the dynamic relaxatory modes. First, the orientational memory can qualitatively impact the appearance of the materials, which also influences the dynamic modes. This is demonstrated for the illustrative example of a short linear magnetic chain in Sec. III. Second, the spatial distribution of the magnetic particles is important. We depict this fact using simple symmetric lattice cells in two spatial dimensions in Sec. IV. Third, the mode structure can be influenced by an external magnetic field. This is highlighted for a spatial particle distribution that was extracted from the cross section of a real experimental sample in Sec. V. The results are summarized in Sec. VI.

II. DYNAMIC DIPOLE-SPRING MODEL

Our ambition in this paper is to qualitatively demonstrate that the relaxation dynamics can be influenced by three different factors: orientational memory, spatial distribution of the magnetic particles, and external magnetic fields. For this purpose, we employ a minimal dipole-spring approach that includes all these ingredients.

We use the recently introduced model energy to describe the state of a ferrogel [9]:

\[
E = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \sum_{i,j}^{N} \frac{\mathbf{m}_i \cdot \mathbf{m}_j - 3(\mathbf{m}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{ij})(\mathbf{m}_j \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{ij})}{r_{ij}} \\
+ \frac{k}{2} \sum_{i,j} (r_{ij} - r_{ij}^{(0)})^2 + D \sum_{i,j} (\hat{\mathbf{m}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{ij} - \hat{\mathbf{m}}_j^{(0)} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{ij}^{(0)})^2 \\
+ \tau \sum_{i,j} (\mathbf{m}_i \times \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{ij}) \cdot (\mathbf{m}_j \times \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{ij}) \\
- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} [\mathbf{m}_i^{(0)} \times \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{ij}^{(0)}] \cdot [\mathbf{m}_j^{(0)} \times \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{ij}^{(0)}].
\]

(1)

Here, each of the \( N \) magnetic particles carries a magnetic dipolar moment \( \mathbf{m}_i \), and is located at position \( r_i \), \( i = 1, \ldots, N \). The distance vectors are \( r_{ij} = r_j - r_i \). For any vector \( \mathbf{x} \) we use the abbreviations \( x = \|\mathbf{x}\| \) and \( \hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{x}/x \). All quantities with the superscript \( (0) \) refer to a memorized state imprinted into the material during its synthesis. We denote the sum over a limited number of close neighbors by angular brackets \( \langle i,j \rangle \).

The first line of Eq. (1) contains the long-ranged dipolar interactions. Next, we model the elastic properties of the embedding polymer matrix by effective Hookean springs between the magnetic particles. \( k \) is the spring constant. Both remaining terms include a simple form of orientational memory of the dipolar orientations: the term with the coefficient \( D \) penalizes rotations of the dipole moments towards the connecting line between magnetic particles; \( \tau \) penalizes relative rotations of the dipolar moments around these connecting lines, typically involving torsional deformations of the polymer matrix. See Ref. [9] for further explanations. In the following we only consider situations and parameter values for which a collapse due to the dipolar attractions does not occur; we thus can neglect steric repulsion between the particles.

All magnetic particles are assumed to be identical. For ferrofluids [25–28] this simplifying picture could capture the experimentally observed effects correctly [29,30]. Particularly, in our case, an identical magnitude of the dipolar moments is assumed, \( m_i = m \) \( i = 1, \ldots, N \). Then, five degrees of freedom remain for each particle \( i \), given by a five-dimensional vector \( \mathbf{y}_i \equiv (r_i, \hat{\mathbf{m}}_i) \). Thus the relaxation dynamics of the system follows as the \( 5N \)-dimensional coupled system of equations

\[
\frac{\partial \mathbf{y}_i}{\partial t} = -\mathbf{y}_i \cdot \frac{\partial E}{\partial \mathbf{y}_i}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, N.
\]

(2)

Here, our final simplifying assumption is that the relaxation rate tensor \( \mathbf{y} \) is diagonal and the same for all particles. Rescaling all lengths by an appropriate distance \( l_0 \), the positional relaxation rates can be adjusted to the angular ones, so that we obtain \( \mathbf{y} = y \mathbf{I} \), with \( \mathbf{I} \) the unity matrix. In all that follows, we measure time in units of \( (yk_0^2\mu_0)^{-1} \), \( D \) and \( \tau \) in units of \( kT/\mu_0^3 \) as well as the magnetic moment \( m \) in units of \( [kT/\mu_0]^1/2 \).

We linearize Eqs. (2) with respect to small deviations \( \delta \mathbf{y}_i \) from the energetic ground state. The resulting system of linearized dynamic equations is rather lengthy and listed in the Appendix. We insert an ansatz \( \delta \mathbf{y}_i = e^{\delta \mathbf{y}_i} \) into the resulting system of linearized dynamic equations. Denoting by \( \mathbf{y} \) the vector composed of all \( \delta \mathbf{y}_i \), the resulting system of equations can be written in the form \( \lambda \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{M} \cdot \delta \mathbf{y} \), with \( \mathbf{M} \) the force matrix. Therefore, the relaxation rates \( \lambda \) follow as the eigenvalues of this matrix, whereas its eigenvectors characterize the nature of the corresponding relaxatory dynamic modes. More precisely, the eigenvectors describe the spatial displacements and magnetic reorientations \( \delta \mathbf{y}_i = \langle \delta r_i, \delta \hat{\mathbf{m}}_i \rangle \) of all particles \( i = 1, \ldots, N \) during the corresponding dynamic mode. These eigenvalues and eigenvectors are obtained by standard numerical methods [31]. In our overdamped system, the relaxation rates together with the relaxation modes characterize the dynamic behavior.

III. IMPACT OF ORIENTATIONAL MEMORY

To demonstrate that the orientational memory has a qualitative impact, it is sufficient to consider a one-dimensional particle arrangement. For such a straight magnetic chain we had previously observed three qualitatively different energetic ground states [9]. They occur for a memorized direction \( \hat{\mathbf{r}} \) (large \( \tau \)), small \( \tau \) (large \( \tau \)), and the pairs \( \langle i,j \rangle \) in Eq. (1) denoting nearest neighbors. We consider three different strengths of orientational memory
dominated by dipolar rotations first around and second towards the chain axis. As Figs. 1(b) and 1(c) show, the orientational memory can lead to qualitative differences in the nature of corresponding modes. The complete table illustrating all occurring modes is included in Ref. [32].

In the above considerations, our limitation to a relatively short chain of \( N = 10 \) particles is due to illustrative purposes only. The differences in the spectra in Fig. 1 and in Ref. [32] solely result from the varying orientational memory that lead to the ferromagnetic, anti-ferromagnetic, and spiral-like ground states. Analogous results follow for significantly longer chains. Likewise, there are no qualitative differences between chains of odd and even numbers of magnetic particles for \( N \geq 10 \) and otherwise identical parameter values.

Summarizing, we have demonstrated the influence of the orientational memory on the dynamics for a one-dimensional spatial arrangement of the magnetic particles. Real three-dimensional particle distributions can contain such chain-like aggregates [5,33–36]. If the distances between the chains are large enough so that the interaction between them can be neglected [37], the dynamic properties of the single chains will have a strong impact on the overall behavior. Nevertheless, the orientational memory should also become important in other cases of more isotropic particle distributions, a topic that shall be investigated further in the future. The orientational memory in our model is encoded by the parameters \( D \) and \( \tau \). In reality, it can for example be tuned during synthesis by the way of embedding the magnetic particles in the polymer matrix. For instance, rotations of elongated magnetic particles [38] are hindered when compared to spheres, and magnetic particles that are actually part of the network due to chemical surface functionalization [6,7] experience permanent restoring torques under reorientation [8].

**IV. EFFECT OF SPATIAL PARTICLE DISTRIBUTION**

Next, we show that the spatial distribution of the magnetic particles has an obvious impact on the relaxation dynamics. For this purpose, it is sufficient to concentrate on a two-dimensional particle arrangement. We consider a system without orientational memory of the dipoles, i.e., \( D = 0 \) and \( \tau = 0 \) in Eq. (1). Instead, we assume that a sufficiently strong external magnetic field orients all magnetic dipoles perpendicularly to the two-dimensional layer. Due to the above rescaling, the only remaining system parameter is the rescaled magnitude \( m \) of the dipole moments. It characterizes the ratio between magnetic and elastic contributions to the system energy.

For illustration, we consider small regular arrangements of different lattice structures and only \( N = 9 \) particles. Of course much larger arrangements can be evaluated but not as easily be displayed. In our examples, the textures are of initially quadratic, rectangular, and hexagonal lattice structure.

We display the relaxation spectra for the three different lattice structures in Fig. 2(a). Since the orientations of the magnetic moments are fixed by the strong external magnetic field, all modes are solely determined by relaxations of the particle positions. In all cases, three zero modes are observed corresponding to global spatial translations and rotations. For the higher modes, the different lattice structures lead to
During the synthesis of the elastomer, a strong homogeneous magnetic field orients the magnetic moments of the iron particles, the average size of which is around 35 μm. During the synthesis of the elastomer, a strong homogeneous magnetic field orients the magnetic moments of the iron particles, the average size of which is around 35 μm. The resulting triangles, which here set the pairs of magnetic moments perpendicular to the plane and maintains different magnitudes of corresponding relaxation rates. Also the nature of the relaxatory modes significantly depends on the spatial particle distribution. One example is illustrated by Fig. 2(b). A complete illustration of all relaxatory modes for each lattice is again included in Ref. [32].

V. INFLUENCE OF AN EXTERNAL MAGNETIC FIELD

Finally, we demonstrate that an external magnetic field can change the dynamic relaxatory behavior. This is particularly important from an application point of view because it allows us to tune the dynamic properties of the materials in a non-invasive way from outside. We consider the same setup as above for the regular lattices. Now, however, there are \( N = 969 \) particles and their spatial distribution does not follow a regular lattice structure. In particular, to make the connection to real systems, we use a real experimental sample and extract the particle positions as an input for our study.

The experimental sample was extensively characterized in Ref. [36]. It is a two-component silicone elastomer of cylindrical shape with a diameter of 3 cm and a height of 1.5 cm. Furthermore, it contains 4.6 wt% of magnetically soft iron particles, the average size of which is around 35 μm. During the synthesis of the elastomer, a strong homogeneous external magnetic field of 220 kA/m was applied parallel to the cylinder axis. This resulted in the formation of linear chains of the magnetic particles spanning the whole sample parallel to the cylinder axis. These were resolved by X-ray microtomography [36], the result of which is displayed in Fig. 3. Cross-sectional images in planes perpendicular to the cylinder axis are available—see the left column of Fig. 3—and contain information about the chain positions [36].

To first approximation, due to the linear chain-like aggregates that are all oriented in the same direction, the structure at intermediate height of the sample is translationally invariant along the cylinder axis. The exact positions and sizes of individual magnetic particles in the sample could not be resolved. We consider by our model the situation within one cross-sectional layer cut out from the sample at intermediate height \( H \). In our example, we choose the cross-section at height \( H = 3 \) mm in Fig. 3.

Each spot in the cross-sectional tomography data identifies magnetic chain particles. We extracted by image analysis the centers of these spots; see Fig. 4(a). Then, in our model, we place one particle on each center, carrying a magnetic moment \( \mathbf{m} \) oriented perpendicular to the plane. Finally, as shown in Fig. 4(a), the area between the particles is tessellated by Delaunay triangulation. We insert elastic springs along the edges of the resulting triangles, which here set the pairs described by \((i,j)\) in Eq. (1). Magnetic interactions are still considered between all pairs of magnetic particles in the plane. In this way, we model the physics of one cross-sectional layer of the real system. Since the magnetic particles in the experimental sample are not covalently bound to the polymer matrix [6,7], and since the magnetic moments are perpendicular to the plane, the orientational memory terms in Eq. (1) do not play a role.

For large enough particle sizes, the magnetization of the particles and thus the magnitude of their magnetic moments can be tuned by the strength of an external magnetic field. We consider this external magnetic field perpendicular to the plane, i.e., along the linear chains formed by the magnetic particles in the experimental sample. This has two reasons. First, we know from the procedure of synthesis that such a magnetic field orients the magnetic moments perpendicular to the plane and maintains...
FIG. 4. (Color online) Tunability of the dynamic behavior by an external magnetic field oriented perpendicular to the plane and affecting the magnetic moments. (a) Positions of the magnetic moments are extracted from the x-ray microtomographic cross-sectional image of an anisotropic real experimental sample [36] displayed for $H = 3$ mm on the left-hand side of Fig. 3. Only a fraction of the image is shown for illustration. Gray areas correspond to the microtomographic spots. (b) Tunability of the spectrum by changing the magnetization. (c) The density of dynamic modes gets shifted in the frequency direction by adjusting the magnetization. Dynamic modes for $\omega \approx 1.3$ and $\omega \approx 2.5$ ($m = 1$) are illustrated in Figs. 5(b) and 5(c), respectively. [The tomography data in panel (a) are taken from Ref. [36], Fig. 5 (H = 3 mm), ©IOP Publishing. Reproduced by permission of IOP Publishing. All rights reserved.]

The frequencies classically phonon picture of nonoverdamped oscillations [23]. Magnetic interactions within the plane are purely repulsive. That can be realized experimentally. In our geometry, the chosen values correspond to external magnetic field strengths of these oscillations in the classical phonon picture. Hydrodynamical relaxations allow to adjust the relaxation time reversibly as the one occuring in Fig. 4(c) between $m = 1$ and $m = 10^4$. Second, our lattice is irregular. Neverthe-
less, the situation is typically discussed in terms of the mode density $g(\omega)$ in frequency space following the notation of the classical Debye picture [23] was obtained for disordered structures [39]. Likewise, we observe here for our two-dimensional disordered solid a “Debye plateau” of the function $g(\omega)/\omega$ in Fig. 4(c) at not too high frequencies. Example modes at the low-frequency end of the spectrum indeed are related to long-scale collective dynamics, as demonstrated in Fig. 5(a).

However, instead of a pure drop of $g(\omega)$ at higher frequencies, a typical “boson peak” can develop in disordered systems [39], the origin of which is still under debate [40]. In our example of a two-dimensional disordered solid, the curve for $g(\omega)$ in Fig. 4(c), before it drops at the end of the plateau, shows a small hump. It is not possible to decide on the basis of our limited statistical data whether this is the signature of a “boson peak” in our non-glassy system. What does become obvious from Figs. 5(b) and 5(c) is that the higher-frequency modes are significantly more localized. This explains their higher relaxation rates: large-scale collective motion is not necessary to relax them.

Most significant for our present purposes is the observation in Fig. 4(c) that the spectral density $g(\omega)$ can be shifted in frequency direction by adjusting $m$ through an external magnetic field. This is an important ingredient from the application point of view. It allows to adjust the relaxation time reversibly in response to varying environmental conditions. We recall that the fraction of the magnetic component in our experimental sample was only $4.6\,\text{wt\%}$. Significantly higher contents of magnetic particles can be realized. It turns out that already after halving all distances in our sample plane, switching $m$ from 10 to $10^4$ is sufficient to achieve a similar shift in the spectrum as the one occurring in Fig. 4(c) between $m = 1$ and $m = 10^4$. This underlines the potential of the magnetic interaction as an effective control parameter for the dynamic behavior. In combination with the established phononic properties of
FIG. 5. (Color online) Illustration of dynamic relaxational modes for the 969-particle planar irregular lattice extracted from the experimental sample. Colored illustrations of the deformed lattices for each mode are superposed to the black undistorted lattice corresponding to the energetic ground state. (a) Examples of lower modes show the expected global collective deformations, here of elliptic ($\lambda_4$), triangular ($\lambda_{10}$), quadratic ($\lambda_{13}$), pentagonal ($\lambda_{15}$), hexagonal ($\lambda_{22}$), and heptagonal ($\lambda_{24}$) shape. Selected eigenmodes (b) around the end of the “Debye plateau” ($\omega \approx 1.3$) and (c) around the small hump that might be connected to a “boson peak” ($\omega \approx 2.5$), cf. Fig. 4(c), show a much more localized character. The initial spring lengths $r_{ij}^{(0)}$ were set according to the values extracted from the experimental sample, while the magnetic moment was chosen as $m = 1$. 
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colloidal systems [41–43], this mechanism could provide a route to tunable sound absorbers.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

Summarizing, we have demonstrated that the dynamic behavior of ferrogels and magnetic elastomers can be tailored and adjusted by at least three factors: first, by the magneto-elastic coupling and orientational memory; second, by the particle distribution; and third, during application, by external magnetic fields. Thus we can forecast how microscopic details, e.g. the orientational coupling of the magnetic particles to their polymeric environment, affect aspects of the dynamic material properties. There are of course several further factors that determine our model parameters and in this way influence the relaxation behavior. For example, these could be the content of magnetic particles, the temperature during application, the degree of crosslinking, or the degree of swelling of the materials. The impact of these parameters should be analyzed both experimentally and theoretically in the future. On the experimental side, aspects of the dynamic relaxation properties can be inferred, for instance, from dielectric relaxation studies [44] or nanorheology [45]. Having all these tuning parameters at hand, it should be possible to adjust the dynamic properties to the requested applicational need.

To our knowledge, investigating aspects of the dynamic material behavior on the level of the magnetic particle distributions represents a new direction in the field. We hope that our study can stimulate further investigations to connect our properties and structural arrangements that lead to the simulations could assist this process by identifying particle distributions that represent a new direction in the field. We hope that our study can stimulate further, more detailed, and more quantitative theoretical and simulation work in this context.

We hope that our study can stimulate further investigations to connect our properties and structural arrangements that lead to the simulations could assist this process by identifying particle distributions that represent a new direction in the field. We hope that our study can stimulate further, more detailed, and more quantitative theoretical and simulation work in this context.
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APPENDIX: DYNAMIC EQUATIONS FOR THE RELAXATIONAL BEHAVIOR

Here we list the complete expressions for the linearized equations characterizing the relaxation dynamics and following from Eq. (2). In this way, the relaxation dynamics of small deviations $\delta y_i$ from the energetic ground state is obtained, where $y_i \equiv (r_i, \hat n_i)$ and $i = 1, \ldots, N$ labels the particles:

$$\frac{\partial \delta y_i}{\partial t} = -\gamma \sum_{j=1}^{N} L_{ij} \delta y_j.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A1)

For simplicity, we only show the formulas for the one-dimensional model cases the different factors that can influence the dynamics of the systems. To allow for quantitative predictions on the dynamic behavior of real samples, three-dimensional analyses will be mandatory in most situations. On the experimental side, for example the differences between isotropic and uniaxial ferrogels should be analyzed concerning dynamic properties. All of these questions are of high practical relevance in view of the dynamic applications. For instance, response and relaxation times determine the range of usability of ferrogels as the basis of the above-mentioned novel damping devices [10], vibrational absorbers [11], or soft actuators [5,17].

Our analysis represents a first step toward an optimization of the dynamic behavior of magnetic gels. Theory and simulations could assist this process by identifying particle properties and structural arrangements that lead to the requested characteristics. A further investigation to connect our approach to directly experimentally measured quantities such as the dynamical susceptibilities is currently underway [46]. We hope that our study can stimulate further investigations to support the design of these fascinating materials and optimize their tunable dynamic properties.

1. Linear chain-like particle arrangement

First, for the one-dimensional chain-like aggregates, the vector $y_i$ reduces to a three-dimensional vector $\hat y_i \equiv (r_i, \theta_i, \phi_i)$. In our choice of coordinates, $r_i$ marks the position of the $i$th particle along the chain, whereas the two angles $\theta_i$ and $\phi_i$ represent the azimuthal and polar angles of the dipolar orientation of the particle with respect to the chain direction.

The linearized operator in the above Eq. (A1) is separated into four parts resulting from the four contributions to the energy $E$ in Eq. (1) of the main text:

$$L_{ij} = L_{ij}^{\text{dip}} + L_{ij}^{\text{el}} + L_{ij}^{\text{ori}} + L_{ij}^{\text{rel}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A2)

We start by calculating the contribution from the dipole-dipole interaction energy. Its diagonal components are given by

\[ L_{ii}^{\text{dip}} = \frac{3\mu_0}{4\pi} \sum_{k\neq i} \left| r_{ik} \right|^{-3} \left| \vec{r}_{ik} \right|^{-1} m^2 \left[ 4r_{ik}^{-1} \sin \theta_i \sin \theta_k \cos (\phi_k - \phi_i) - 2 \cos \theta_i \cos \theta_k \hat{r}_{ik} \cdot \hat{r}_{ik} + \cos \theta_i \sin \theta_k \cos (\phi_k - \phi_i) \right] \]

\[ + 2 \sin \theta_i \cos \theta_k \hat{r}_{ik} \cdot \hat{r}_{ik} + \sin \theta_i \sin \theta_k \sin (\phi_k - \phi_i) \hat{r}_{ik} \cdot \hat{r}_{ik} \right] + \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \sum_{k\neq i} \left| r_{ik} \right|^{-3} m^2 \left[ 3r_{ik}^{-1} \cos \theta_i \sin \theta_k \cos (\phi_k - \phi_i) \right] \]

\[ + 2 \sin \theta_i \cos \theta_k \hat{r}_{ik} \cdot \hat{r}_{ik} - \sin \theta_i \sin \theta_k \sin (\phi_k - \phi_i) - 2 \cos \theta_i \cos \theta_k \hat{r}_{ik} \cdot \hat{r}_{ik} + \cos \theta_i \sin \theta_k \cos (\phi_k - \phi_i) \hat{r}_{ik} \cdot \hat{r}_{ik} \]

\[ - \sin \theta_i \sin \theta_k \sin (\phi_k - \phi_i) - 2 \cos \theta_i \cos \theta_k \hat{r}_{ik} \cdot \hat{r}_{ik} \] \hspace{1cm} (A3)
and its off-diagonal components for \( j \neq i \) by
\[
\mathcal{L}_{ij}^{\text{dip}} = \frac{3 \mu_0}{4\pi} r_{ij}^{-3} m^2 \left[ -4 r_{ij}^{-1} (\sin \theta_i \cos \phi_j - \phi_i) - 2 \cos \theta_i \cos \phi_j + \sin \theta_i \cos \phi_j \right] \hat{r} \hat{r} + \left\{ \sin \theta_i \cos \phi_j + 2 \cos \theta_i \sin \theta_j \right\} \hat{\theta}_j - \sin \theta_i \sin \theta_j \sin (\phi_j - \phi_i) \hat{\phi}_j + \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} r_{ij}^{-3} m \left[ -3 r_{ij}^{-1} \sin \theta_i \cos \phi_j - 2 \sin \theta_i \sin \theta_j \right] \hat{r} \hat{r}
\]
\[
+ \left\{ \cos \theta_i \cos \phi_j - \phi_i - 2 \sin \theta_i \sin \theta_j \right\} \hat{\theta}_j - \cos \theta_i \sin \phi_j - \phi_i \hat{\phi}_j
\]
\[
- 3 r_{ij}^{-1} \sin \theta_i \sin (\phi_j - \phi_i) \hat{\phi}_j + \cos \theta_i \sin \phi_j - \phi_i \hat{\phi}_j + \sin \theta_i \cos \phi_j - \phi_i \hat{\phi}_j + \sin \theta_i \cos \phi_j - \phi_i \hat{\phi}_j \right] \right].
\]

Here \( r_{ij} = r_j - r_i \) and \( \hat{r} \) denotes the unit vector in the \( r \) direction, i.e., along the chain axis. Likewise, \( \hat{\theta}_i \) and \( \hat{\phi}_i \) represent the unit vectors in the \( \theta \) and \( \phi \) directions for the current orientation of the dipolar moment of the \( i \)th particle.

After straightforward calculation, the components of the operator containing the elastic part are obtained as
\[
\mathcal{L}_{ij}^{\text{el}} = \begin{cases} 
    k \sum_{\ell \in \delta \Omega_i} \hat{r} \hat{r} & \text{if } i = j, \\
    -k \hat{r} \hat{r} & \text{if } j \in \delta \Omega_i, \\
    0 & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases}
\]

where \( \delta \Omega_i \) denotes the set of the (one or two) nearest neighbors of the \( i \)th particle.

In the same way, the first contribution from the orientational memory becomes
\[
\mathcal{L}_{ii}^{\text{D}} = -2 D m^{-1} \left\{ -\sin^2 \theta_i + \left( \cos \theta_i - \cos \theta_i^{(0)} \right) \cos \theta_i \right\} \hat{\theta}_i \hat{\theta}_i + \left( \cos \theta_i - \cos \theta_i^{(0)} \right) \sin \theta_i \sin \theta_i \hat{\phi}_i \hat{\phi}_i
\]

and
\[
\mathcal{L}_{ij}^{\text{D}} = 0.
\]

Finally, the diagonal components of the linearized operator resulting from the second part of the orientational memory are calculated as
\[
\mathcal{L}_{ii}^{\tau} = 2 \tau m^{-1} \sin \theta_i \left\{ -\cos(\phi_i - \phi_i) \cos \left( \phi_i^{(0)} - \phi_i^{(0)} \right) \hat{\phi}_i \hat{\theta}_i - \left( \cos(\phi_i - \phi_i) \cos \left( \phi_i^{(0)} - \phi_i^{(0)} \right) + \cos^2(\phi_i - \phi_i) - \sin^2(\phi_i - \phi_i) \right) \hat{\phi}_i \hat{\phi}_i \right\}
\]

The corresponding off-diagonal components are given by
\[
\mathcal{L}_{ij}^{\tau} = 2 \tau m^{-1} \sin \theta_i \left\{ -\cos(\phi_i - \phi_i) \cos \left( \phi_i^{(0)} - \phi_i^{(0)} \right) + \cos^2(\phi_j - \phi_i) - \sin^2(\phi_j - \phi_i) \right\} \hat{\phi}_i \hat{\phi}_j
\]
for pairs of nearest neighbors. Otherwise the off-diagonal components are zero,
\[
\mathcal{L}_{ij}^{\tau} = 0,
\]

with \( \Omega_i = \delta \Omega_i + \{ i \} \) in this notation.

### 2. Planar particle arrangement

Second, in the case of the two-dimensional plane, we assume that all dipole moments are aligned perpendicular to the plane. Then, since the degrees of freedom for the dipolar orientations drop out, the vector \( y_i \) reduces to two dimensions, i.e., \( y_i = (x_i, y_i) \). Furthermore, the two terms of orientational memory characterized by the coefficients \( D \) and \( \tau \) vanish. As a result, the linearized operator in Eq. (A1) above contains only two contributions resulting from the dipolar and from the elastic part of the energy \( E \) in Eq. (1) of the main text:
\[
\mathcal{L}_{ij} = \mathcal{L}_{ij}^{\text{dip}} + \mathcal{L}_{ij}^{\text{el}}.
\]

The operator characterizing the dipole-dipole interactions is linearized to
\[
\mathcal{L}_{ij}^{\text{dip}} = \frac{3 \mu_0}{4\pi} \sum_{k \in \delta \Omega_i} r_{ik}^{-7} m^2 \left( 5(x_k - x_i)^2 - r_{ik}^2 \right) \hat{x} \hat{x} + \left( 5(y_k - y_i)^2 - r_{ik}^2 \right) \hat{y} \hat{y} + 5(x_k - x_i)(y_k - y_i)(\hat{x} \hat{y} + \hat{y} \hat{x})
\]

for the diagonal components and to
\[
\mathcal{L}_{ij}^{\text{dip}} = \frac{3 \mu_0}{4\pi} r_{ij}^{-7} m^2 \left( 5(x_j - x_i)^2 - r_{ij}^2 \right) \hat{x} \hat{x} + \left( 5(y_j - y_i)^2 - r_{ij}^2 \right) \hat{y} \hat{y} + 5(x_j - x_i)(y_j - y_i)(\hat{x} \hat{y} + \hat{y} \hat{x})
\]

for the off-diagonal components.
For the linearized operator resulting from the elastic contribution, the diagonal components read

\[
\mathcal{L}_{ii}^{el} = -k \sum_{\ell \in \Omega} r_{i\ell}^{-1} \left[ -\left\{ L r_{i\ell}^{-2} (x_\ell - x_i)^2 + r_{i\ell} - L \right\} \ddot{x}_\ell \ddot{x}_i - L r_{i\ell}^{-2} (x_\ell - x_i)(y_\ell - y_i)(\ddot{y}_\ell + \ddot{y}_i) - \left\{ L r_{i\ell}^{-2} (y_\ell - y_i)^2 + r_{i\ell} - L \right\} \ddot{y}_\ell \ddot{y}_i \right].
\]

(A14)

Its off-diagonal components are obtained as

\[
\mathcal{L}_{ij}^{el} = -k r_{ij}^{-1} \left[ \left\{ L r_{ij}^{-2} (x_j - x_i)^2 + r_{ij} - L \right\} \ddot{x}_i \ddot{x}_j + L r_{ij}^{-2} (x_j - x_i)(y_j - y_i)(\ddot{y}_i + \ddot{y}_j) + \left\{ L r_{ij}^{-2} (y_j - y_i)^2 + r_{ij} - L \right\} \ddot{y}_i \ddot{y}_j \right]
\]

(A15)

for nearest neighbors and otherwise as

\[
\mathcal{L}_{ij \notin \Omega} = 0,
\]

(A16)

where again \( \Omega_i = \delta \Omega_i + \{i\} \).