Dynamical Crystallites of Active Chiral Particles
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One of the intrinsic characteristics of far-from-equilibrium systems is the nonrelaxational nature of the system dynamics, which leads to novel properties that cannot be understood and described by conventional pathways based on thermodynamic potentials. Of particular interest are the formation and evolution of ordered patterns composed of active particles that exhibit collective behavior. Here we examine such a type of nonpotential active system, focusing on effects of coupling and competition between chiral particle self-propulsion and self-spinning. It leads to the transition between three bulk dynamical regimes dominated by collective translative motion, spinning-induced structural arrest, and dynamical frustration. In addition, a persistently dynamical state of self-rotating crystallites is identified as a result of a localized-delocalized transition induced by the crystal-melt interface. The mechanism for the breaking of localized bulk states can also be utilized to achieve self-shearing or self-flow of active crystalline layers.
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In many cases, the self-propulsion of active particles, coupled with interparticle interactions, is the driving factor underlying various forms of collective behavior [7,11–13,16–22]. Recent experimental and theoretical studies also showed that the self-spinning or self-circling alone (of active spinners or rotors [35–40]) can generate spatiotemporal collective states among the interacting chiral particles [8–10,23–33,41–48]. A typical example is the edge current flow of rotors generated at rigid boundary walls; this edge mode induces the collective, unidirectional flow of neighboring rotors, which either decays into the confined sample interior of a liquid or gas phase [24–29] or causes the rotation of the circular sample in a crystalline or jammed phase [24,25].

Although much effort has been devoted to investigating either of these two mechanisms of self-propulsion and self-spinning, effects of their mutual coupling are much less explored. Also less understood is the corresponding crystallization process, for which a statistical continuum description that can access large length and timescales much beyond the restrictions encountered in discrete particle-based approaches, has still been lacking. Here, by introducing a continuum density-field description that is nonpotential and nonvariational, we show that the coupling and competition between self-propulsion and self-spinning result in a surprisingly rich behavior of nonrelaxational dynamical crystallized states. They feature both translational and rotational collective motion, governed by persistent dynamics. Two types of transition for active crystalline patterns are identified, i.e., bulk traveling-localization and interfacial localized-delocalized transitions, each mediated by a crossover regime showing
dynamical frustration of active chiral particles. Of particular interest is the effect of the controlled crystal-melt interfaces, leading to an emergent state of self-rotating crystallites embedded in a homogeneous active melt, or self-shearing or wriggling flow of crystalline layers.

The active system here is described by a local particle density variation field \( \psi \) and a local polar particle orientation field \( \mathbf{P} \), the dynamics of which are governed by

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t \psi &= \nabla^2 \left[ \epsilon \psi + (\nabla^2 + q_0^2)\psi - g \psi^2 + \psi^3 \right] - v_0 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}, \\
\partial_t \mathbf{P} &= (\nabla^2 - D_r)(C_1 \mathbf{P} + C_4 |\mathbf{P}|^2 \mathbf{P}) - v_0 \nabla \psi + \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{P},
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \epsilon \), together with the average density \( \psi_0 \), controls the transition between homogeneous (liquid) and crystalline phases, \( v_0 \) is the self-propulsion strength, \( D_r \) is the rotational diffusion constant, and \( \mathbf{M} = M \hat{z} \) represents the strength of self-spinning caused by an active torque. When \( \mathbf{M} = 0 \) we recover the previous active phase field crystal (PFC) description [19,20].

Here we focus on \( C_1 > 0 \), counteracting any spontaneous polarization by, e.g., rotational diffusion, to preclude any explicit alignment interactions and be consistent with related experiments. Our description can be derived from a microscopic particle-based formulation and dynamical density functional theory (DDFT) (see Supplemental Material [49]). All the model parameters are rescaled and dimensionless, giving a diffusion timescale and a spatial scale set by the periodicity of the ordered phase with \( q_0 = 1 \).

It is noteworthy that Eqs. (1) and (2) could be reduced to a gradient, relaxational form only when \( v_0 = M = 0 \) for passive particles, giving \( \partial_t \psi = \nabla^2 \delta F / \delta \psi \) and \( \partial_t \mathbf{P} = (\nabla^2 - D_r) \delta F / \delta \mathbf{P} \) with \( F = \int d \mathbf{r} \left[ \frac{\psi^2}{2} - \frac{\psi^4}{4} + C_1 |\mathbf{P}|^2/2 + C_4 |\mathbf{P}|^4/4 \right] \), a combination of the PFC free energy [84–87] and a Landau expansion of the polarization field. Thus, for any active system with nonzero \( v_0 \), the corresponding system dynamics is nonrelaxational, i.e., does not follow the minimization of \( F \). We examine this nonpotential system through a series of numerical simulations. Each starts either from a homogeneous state with random initial conditions or from initially small crystalline nuclei, with system sizes ranging from \( 128 \times 128 \) to \( 2048 \times 2048 \) grid points (around 230 to 60000 density peaks) subjected to periodic boundary conditions. The system parameters are chosen as \( \epsilon, g, D_r, C_1, C_4 = (-0.98, 0, 0.5, 0.2, 0) \), while values of \( M, v_0 \), and \( \psi_0 \) are varied to control the competition between self-propulsion and self-spinning.

Our simulations indicate that, in the bulk state of active crystals, three characteristic regimes of system dynamics can be identified. As shown in Fig. 1(a), the propelling-spinning competition leads to a sharp transition between a unidirectionally traveling ordered state driven by particle self-propulsion at large enough \( v_0 \) and small \( M \) [Fig. 1(b)] and a localized or arrested crystalline state with vanishing velocity \( \mathbf{v} \) of each density peak at large enough \( M \) [Fig. 1(d)]. The effect of active torque causes the self-spinning or localized self-circling of individual chiral particles and hence the localization of each density peak, which is consistent with previous DDFT results for noncrystallized states [88]. It thus induces the arrest of the whole pattern as observed here. This traveling-localization transition can be accompanied by phase transformations between ordered structures as induced by particle self-spinning when \( M \) increases. Examples include transformations from a traveling rhombic or distorted hexagonal structure to a localized hexagonal phase [Figs. 1(b)–1(d)], or from traveling square to traveling rhombic to localize hexagonal structures at large active drive \( v_0 \) (Fig. 2).

In a narrow crossover regime near the transition threshold \( (M \sim M_c) \), the incompatibility between two dynamical effects dominated by self-propelled translation and spinning-induced localization becomes explicit. When these two competing dynamics are of similar degree, none of the
corresponding optimal collective behaviors can be achieved, leading to the local dynamic frustration of active particles [see Fig. 1(c)] or a wavy, swinging translative motion of the whole crystalline pattern characterized by alternative regions of density peaks traveling at varying directions (see Supplemental Material, Video S1 [49]). The balancing of translation and localization also leads to a phenomenon of migrating crystallites. As shown in Fig. 1(e) and Video S2, during the evolution and coarsening of faceted crystallites or grains, the density peaks are localized within each grain, while the whole crystallites travel within the coexisting medium of homogeneous melt, impinging and coalescing with each other.

The above results can be further understood by rewriting Eq. (2) in terms of a local divergence field $S = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}$ and the self-spinning field $\Omega = (\nabla \times \mathbf{P})/2$ for $C_4 = 0$,

$$\partial_t S = C_1(\nabla^2 - D_c)S - 2M\Omega - v_0 \nabla^2 \psi.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$$\partial_t \Omega = C_1(\nabla^2 - D_c)\Omega + \frac{1}{2}MS.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Equation (4) indicates that $\Omega$ serves as an effective source for nonzero $\Omega$ in the steady state, generating particle self-spinning locally, as confirmed numerically in the inset of Fig. 1(a). Nonzero $S$ also drives the propagation of density patterns when entering Eq. (1), while its own source is, in turn, provided by the variation of the density field [see $v_0 \nabla^2 \psi$ in Eq. (3)]. Simultaneously, the term $M\Omega$ in Eq. (3) causes the damping of $S$ and plays the role of an inhibitor that hinders the particle migration, leading to the effect of localization observed in the simulations.

Given the linear form of Eqs. (3) and (4), it is straightforward to express the Fourier components of $S$ and $\Omega$ in terms of those of density $\psi$ in the nonequilibrium steady state with a constant pattern migration speed $v_m$. For the hexagonal phase, in one-mode approximation

$$v_m^2 = \frac{2}{3q_0} \frac{\hat{q}_0^4 v_0^2}{4C_1(\hat{q}_0^2 + D_c)^2} - M^2$$
$$+ \sqrt{\hat{q}_0^4 v_0^4 - 16M^4C_1(\hat{q}_0^2 + D_c)^2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

if $v_m > 0$ and $|M| \leq \hat{q}_0^2 v_0^2/[4C_1(\hat{q}_0^2 + D_c)]$; otherwise $v_m = 0$. This analytic result indicates that there exists a critical threshold $M_c$ (or $v_{0c}$); when $|M| > M_c$ (or $v_0 < v_{0c}$) the active crystal is localized with $v_m = 0$. $\hat{q}_0$ is the selected wave number of the ordered pattern, difficult to be determined analytically for a nonpotential system [1–3]. Our simulations indicate that $\hat{q}_0$ is in the vicinity of $q_0$, an approximation used in evaluating Eq. (5) as presented in Fig. 1(a) without any parameter fitting. We find a reasonably good agreement between analytic and numerical results for $v_m$, with the deviations attributed to the employed one-mode approximation.

Remarkably, the effect of chiral particle self-spinning, which leads to the bulk structure localization identified above, can be utilized to generate a further localized-delocalized transition in the interfacial state and, consequently, a phenomenon of crystallite self-rotation or self-shearing. The crystal-melt interface is set up through a sufficiently steep gradient of the “temperature”-type parameters $\epsilon$ and $C_1$ (corresponding to the spatial variation of, e.g., chemicals or heat sources controlling homogeneous vs crystalline phases in experiments). Our obtained results are robust against specific realizations of the setup, as verified in simulations. Below, we present results for a kink-type two-phase profile

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (\epsilon_{\text{in}} + \epsilon_{\text{out}}) + (\epsilon_{\text{out}} - \epsilon_{\text{in}}) \tanh [(r - r_0)/\Delta] \right\},$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

with the same form for $C_1$. This represents a circular cavity of radius $r_0$, enclosing a crystallite embedded in an outside coexisting homogeneous medium of active melt. Similar kink-type profiles can be set up for other interfacial geometries. Here we set $(\epsilon_{\text{in}}, \epsilon_{\text{out}}, C_1, C_{138}) = (-0.98, 0, 0.2, 1)$ and $\Delta$ around 1–5 grid spacings.

Simulation results for a circular cavity are presented in Fig. 3, showing three regimes of crystallite self-motion: (i) self-translation dominated, (ii) self-rotation dominated, and (iii) the transition between them, as a result of the competition between self-propelled translative particle motion, interface-induced tangential motion of density peaks, and localization through particle self-spinning. In regime (i) for small $M$, high-density blocks constantly crystallize from the active melt at one side of the cavity, propagate across it, and remelt into the homogeneous medium at the other side, as seen in Fig. 3(b) and Video S3 [49]. At the same time, the whole crystallite still rotates slowly, with small but nonzero averaged angular velocity $\langle |\omega| \rangle$ [estimated as the orientation change rate of $\langle \psi \rangle$, given the dominance of translative motion, with the center of rotation located outside the crystallite; see Fig. 3(a)]. The maximum magnitude of the average translational velocity $\langle |\psi| \rangle$ (among realizations of different $\psi_0$) decreases with increasing $M$, due to stronger localization through particle self-spinning. At large enough $M$, regime (ii), persistent self-rotation of the facetted crystallite about the cavity center is observed, as illustrated in Fig. 3(c) and Video S4 [49]. The direction of crystallite rotation (clockwise) is opposite to that of individual particle self-spinning (counterclockwise). The maximum averaged rotation rate $\langle |\omega| \rangle$ (here $|\omega| = \langle v - \langle \psi \rangle \rangle/\langle \psi \rangle$) is reduced at larger $M$ with enhanced bulk localization.

This phenomenon of self-rotating crystallites can be understood by examining the spatial variation of the polarization field $\mathbf{P}$. At the cavity boundary, the average polarization of a boundary density peak at the melt side is weaker than at the inner crystalline side, generating a local spatial gradient of $\mathbf{P}$ (and $\Omega$) that is suppressed asymmetrically by its vicinity to the interface. Thus, the active drive at the inner
side of each boundary peak dominates over that of its outer side, causing the corresponding self-flow of the interfacial crystalline layer. It subsequently overcomes the localization of (i.e., delocalizes) interior particles via collective dynamics and drives the overall self-rotation of the crystallite. The direction of self-rotation follows the orientation of the net polarization at the inner side of interfacial peaks and thus, interestingly, is opposite to that determined by the chirality of individual self-spinning. When the sign of $M$ is reversed, both directions of self-spinning and self-rotation are reversed. The mechanism here is different from that underlying the rotation or edge flows of active spinners found in previous studies of no-flux rigid boundary walls; there the chirality of the boundary or edge flow is the same as that of the individual spinners or rotors [24–29].

In the transitional regime, the crystallite shows double-degenerate behaviors, one dominated by self-translation and the other by self-rotation, as depicted in Figs. 3(d) and 3(e), respectively. In the latter, although the overall crystallite self-rotates as driven by the interfacial layer, some inner layers exhibit local frustration and even intermittent inverse rotation [leading to regions of local self-shearing; see Fig. 3(e) and Video S5 [49]]. This reflects the competition among self-propulsion, self-spinning-induced localization, and interface-induced delocalization.

The dynamical regimes identified for both bulk and circularly interfacial systems are summarized in Fig. 4, in terms of $M - v_0$ state diagrams obtained from simulations across different values of $\psi_0$ that lead to hexagonal crystallized states. Major parts of the $M - v_0$ space are occupied by the self-translation-dominated state and the states of self-spinning-induced bulk localization [Fig. 4(a)] or interface-related crystallite self-rotation [Fig. 4(b)]. The transitional regime, characterized by dynamical frustration in both cases, is broader in Fig. 4(b) that involves crystal-melt interfaces.

The interface-induced driving mechanism of crystalline layers should apply to any geometry of nonrigid (soft) crystal-liquid boundaries or edges. In the example of a slab crystallite [Fig. 5(a)], the top and bottom interfacial layers are expected to be driven towards opposite directions due to their inverse crystal-to-liquid interface orientations, leading to self-shearing of the crystallite as verified in our simulations [see Fig. 5(a) and Video S6 [49]]. Conversely, in the transitional regime, local frustration of density peaks occurs...
as a consequence of the comparable strengths of self-propulsion and self-spinning, as seen in Fig. 5(b). This leads to either fluctuating modes of traveling crystalline layers (Video S7) or even a snaking or worming type of layer flow (Video S8).

Finally, similar consequences of opposing interfacial orientations can be manifested in a ringlike configuration, as shown in Fig. 5(c) and Video S9 [49]. In many cases, although the whole ring-shaped crystallite still rotates collectively as driven by the outermost circular layer with longest perimeter [Fig. 5(c)], its angular velocity is significantly reduced due to the hindrance by the counter-acting drive of the innermost annulus. The scenario of self-shearing occurs when the two interfacial drives are of comparable strength, for which the outer and inner crystalline layers rotate to opposite directions (Video S9).

In summary, we have analyzed and predicted the collective behavior of spatially ordered structures featuring both active propulsion and active rotation. The interplay between individual particle self-propulsion and self-spinning during crystallization results in various novel states of collective and persistent dynamics that are enabled by the nonrelaxational nature of the active system. The competition leads to a traveling-frustration-localization transition in active crystals with increasing strength of self-spinning, which also induces a transformation between ordered phases as a result of pattern selection. A breaking of the localization and structural arrest occurs for interfacial states, revealing persistently dynamical states of self-rotating crystallites and self-shearing or self-flowing crystalline layers. The direction of crystallite self-rotation or layer propagation is opposite to that given by the chirality of the individual self-spinning particles, an effect caused by the crystal-melt interface-induced spatial variation of local polarization and the subsequent edge-originated delocalization and collective motion of active particles.

These predictions open new possibilities to explore the emergence of novel dynamical phenomena and unveil complex mechanisms underlying a wide variety of nonequilibrium active systems governed by persistent, nonrelaxational dynamics. Although here we focused on a dry environment, the results and mechanisms identified above will in many cases still apply to leading order in additional fluid surroundings (see Supplemental Material [49]). It should even be possible to disentangle the effects of both environments in an experiment when surrounding granular or colloidal spinners by a viscous fluid with varying viscosity for the tuning of hydrodynamic couplings. Our results can be realized and verified in varying viscosity for the tuning of hydrodynamic granular or colloidal spinners by a viscous fluid with of both environments in an experiment when surrounding [49]). It should even be possible to disentangle the effects of additional fluid surroundings (see Supplemental Material above will in many cases still apply to leading order in a dry environment, the results and mechanisms identified nonrelaxational dynamics. Although here we focused on nonequilibrium active systems governed by persistent, emergence of novel dynamical phenomena and unveil polarization and the subsequent edge-originated delocalization of the crystal-melt interface-induced spatial variation of local

talline layers rotate to opposite directions (Video S9).

These predictions open new possibilities to explore the emergence of novel dynamical phenomena and unveil complex mechanisms underlying a wide variety of nonequilibrium active systems governed by persistent, nonrelaxational dynamics. Although here we focused on a dry environment, the results and mechanisms identified above will in many cases still apply to leading order in additional fluid surroundings (see Supplemental Material [49]). It should even be possible to disentangle the effects of both environments in an experiment when surrounding granular or colloidal spinners by a viscous fluid with varying viscosity for the tuning of hydrodynamic couplings. Our results can be realized and verified in various experimental setups, such as a collective of granular rotors [25,28,89], light-controlled anisotropic colloidal Janus particles [90] and colloidal molecules [91], or self-propelled particles equipped with magnetic dipole moments [92,93] to perform active spinning under a magnetic field.


